

1 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 2 COMMISSIONERS 3 LEA MÁRQUEZ PETERSON- Chairwoman SANDRA D. KENNEDY 4 JUSTIN OLSON ANNA TOVAR JIM O'CONNOR 6 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DOCKET NO. W-02848A-21-0363 STARLIGHT WATER COMPANY, INC. FOR APPROVAL OF THE SALE OF ASSETS AND/OR PROCEDURAL ORDER 8 FOR CANCELLATION OF THE CERTIFICATE OF (Schedules a Hearing and Public CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY. Comment) 9 BY THE COMMISSION: 10 On November 3, 2021, Starlight Water Company, Inc. ("SWC" or "Company") filed an 11 application with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") for approval of the sale of its 12 assets to the Blue Ridge Domestic Water Improvement District ("District") and for the Cancellation of 13 the Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("CC&N") ("Application"). 14 On November 5, 2021, a Procedural Order was issued regarding consent to email service. 15 On December 3, 2021, the Commission's Utilities Division ("Staff") issued a Letter of 16 Insufficiency to SWC. 17 On December 14, 2021, SWC filed an Amended Application. 18 On January 3, 2022, Attorney Robert J. Campos filed a Motion to Intervene on behalf of a group 19 of residents and/or property owners in Happy Jack, Arizona, ("Happy Jack Intervenors") stating that 20 they "would be directly impacted by the sale of SWC to the District" because the sale would result in 21 increased rates and affect limited household budgets. 1 22 On January 5, 2022, Attorney Riley S. Snow filed a Motion to Intervene on behalf of the 23 District. 24 No objections were filed to these Motions. Counsel for both Intervenors also consented to 25 email. 26 27 ¹ The group of intervenors are as follows: Mr. David Wang; Mr. Dan Siburg; Ms. Rhonda Williams; Ms. Tammy Farrell; 28 Ms. Jeri Mason; Mr. Randy Wood; Ms. Susan Wood; and Mr. Matthew Porter.

> ACC - Docket Control - Received 9/20/2022 1:33 PM ACC - Docket Control - Docketed 9/20/2022 1:42 PM

On January 14, 2022, Staff filed a Sufficiency Letter, stating that SWC's Amended Application had met the sufficiency requirements as outlined in the Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C.") R14-2-402 (Water Rules).

Additionally, numerous consumer comments have been filed in this docket.

On January 26, 2022, by Procedural Order, the intervention requests were granted, a telephonic hearing was scheduled for March 17, 2022, and other procedural deadlines were established.

On February 8, 2022, SWC filed a Compliance Filing Regarding Customer Notification of Application Filing.

On February 14, 2022, SWC filed a Compliance Filing Regarding Public Notice and Customer Notification Regarding Hearing.

On February 18, 2022, Staff filed its Staff Report, recommending approval of the sale and cancellation of the Company's CC&N with conditions.

On February 23, 2022, SWC filed a Response to the Staff Report.

On February 28, 2022, counsel for the Happy Jack Intervenors filed a Motion to Disqualify SWC's Attorney, Steve Wene, and His Law Firm Due to a Conflict of Interest.

On March 3, 2022, counsel for the Happy Jack Intervenors filed Intervenor's Objections to the Arizona Corporation Commission's Report, and Request for a Full Evidentiary Hearing and the Production of Witnesses.

On March 7, 2022, SWC filed a Motion for Extension of Time to File Response to Motion to Disqualify.

On March 8, 2022, by Procedural Order, SWC's Motion for Extension of Time to File Response to Motion to Disqualify was granted, the telephonic hearing was vacated and the date was kept for public comment only, and a telephonic procedural conference was scheduled.

On March 11, 2022, Staff filed a Motion to Suspend Timeclock and Hold Matter in Abeyance. Staff requested the timeclock be suspended for 45 days, until April 25, 2022, and further stated that Staff intends to issue additional data requests to SWC and to engage in dialogue with the parties to further understand the basis for the allegations pertaining to any conflict of interest that may be pertinent to the proceeding.

On March 11, 2022, SWC filed a Response to Intervenor's Motion to Disqualify.

On March 14, 2022, SWC filed a Response to Intervenor's Request for A Full Evidentiary Hearing and the Production of Witnesses.

On March 15, 2022, counsel for the Happy Jack Intervenors filed a Combined Reply to SWC's Response to Intervenor's Motion to Disqualify Attorney Steve Wene and His Law Firm Due to a Conflict of Interest and Reply to SWC's Response to Intervenor's Request for a Full Evidentiary Hearing and The Production of Witnesses.

On March 16, 2022, Counsel for the Happy Jack Intervenors filed Intervenor's Supplemental Exhibit to Intervenor's Motion to Disqualify Attorney Steve Wene and His Law Firm Due to a Conflict of Interest.

On March 17, 2022, the public comment proceeding was held as scheduled. Counsel for all parties to the proceeding were in attendance as were the members of the Happy Jack Intervenors. One of these intervenors, Mr. David Wang, made public comment concerning the group's opposition to the proposed sale to the District and the cancellation of SWC's CC&N.

Following the public comment proceeding, a separate procedural conference took place regarding how the proceeding should go forward in light of the various pending motions and Staff's Motion to Suspend Timeclock and Hold the Matter in Abeyance pending Staff's further investigation of the Application.

On March 31, 2022, by Procedural Order, Staff's Motion to Suspend Timeclock was granted and pending motions held in abeyance pending Staff's further investigation. Further, it was determined that another procedural conference would be scheduled when it was determined that an evidentiary hearing could be scheduled.

On June 3, 2022, SWC filed what was captioned "Starlight Water Company's Notice of Proposed Dates for Filing of Supplemental Report and Hearing." Therein, SWC requested Staff's Supplemental Report be filed by June 24, 2022, and proposed a number of alternate hearing dates.

On June 24, 2022, Staff filed its Supplemental Report which stated that the office of the Arizona Attorney General was investigating a complaint which involves the formation of the District. In light of this investigation, Staff amended its recommendation for approval of the Application. Staff stated

2 3 4

 it would require the Company to file documentation of the findings and conclusion of the investigation of the Attorney General into the formation of the District because the creation and operation of improvement districts are subject to the jurisdiction of the county board of supervisors and do not fall within the Commission's jurisdiction. As a result, Staff recommended that the docket remain open until the Attorney General's Office ("AGO") finalized its investigation, in order for the Commission to determine when the transfer is in the public interest.

On July 7, 2022, by Procedural Order, it was ordered that the time clock should remain suspended and the docket remain open until the results of the Attorney General's investigation were disclosed after which an additional procedural conference would be scheduled to determine when the matter could go forward.

On July 22, 2022, SWC filed a Motion for a Procedural Conference to Set a Hearing because it alleges that there is no ongoing investigation.

On July 28, 2022, the District filed a Joinder in SWC's Motion for a Procedural Conference to set a hearing.

On July 29, 2022, the Happy Jack Intervenors filed a Response to SWC's Motion to Set a Procedural Conference to establish a hearing date arguing that setting any hearing is premature.

On August 1, 2022, Staff filed a Response to the Happy Jack Intervenors' Response to SWC's Motion for a Procedural Conference to set a hearing. In its Response, Staff states that Staff never confirmed with the AGO that that they were conducting a criminal investigation related to the formation of the District. Staff clarified that months earlier Staff confirmed that the AGO was conducting a civil investigation into the formation of the District, but that the AGO has "stated that this investigation is no longer active."

On August 23, 2022, by Procedural Order, a telephonic procedural conference was scheduled for September 14, 2022, to examine the status of the claims in this proceeding, the status of the Arizona Attorney General's investigation and whether a hearing should be scheduled at this time.

On September 14, 2022, a telephonic procedural conference was held with counsel for the parties. Counsel for Staff indicated that Staff's supplemental Staff Report is the basis of its recommendation in the proceeding and that it had merely added one additional compliance requirement

 to its recommendations. Counsel for Staff also referenced a July 22, 2022, Motion for a Procedural Conference by SWC which contained an attachment received from the counsel for the District from the Attorney General's Office which stated that its Government Accountability Unit "has no ongoing civil matters relating to the formation of the District" and further that the criminal division "does not make comments" on whether there are any active criminal investigations. Counsel for the parties also discussed their prospective number of witnesses at hearing.

Under the circumstances, it is reasonable and appropriate that a hearing be scheduled on SWC's application.

In keeping with COVID-19 guidance from the U.S. Centers for Disease Control, the Commission has reopened its buildings to the public. Because of this, the Commission has determined that it is appropriate to provide the parties to each Utilities Division case that will have a hearing the option to have their representatives and witnesses attend and participate in the hearing in person or remotely via WebEx. If a party does not make a filing identifying the manner in which its representatives and witnesses will participate during the hearing, the Commission will expect the representatives and witnesses to participate in person. In-person participation will only be permitted at the designated hearing location, not at another Commission office.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the **hearing** in this matter shall commence on **November 7, 2022, at 10:00 a.m.,** at the Commission's offices at 1200 West Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, and shall continue, as necessary, at **9:30 a.m. on November 8, 9, and 10, 2022.**

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if not already docketed, the **Staff Report** and **associated exhibits** to be presented at hearing shall be reduced to writing and filed on or before **October 14, 2022.**

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any objections or other response to the Staff Report shall be reduced to writing and filed on or before **October 21, 2022.**

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that intervention shall be in accordance with A.A.C. R14-3-105, except that all motions to intervene must be filed on or before October 21, 2022.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each party shall, by October 21, 2022, file in this docket a Notice indicating the manner in which each of the party's representatives and each of the party's witnesses will participate in the hearing (in-person in Phoenix or via WebEx).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the following **maximum in-person attendance** shall be enforced for the Commission's hearing rooms:

Hearing Room	Hearing Room 1	Hearing Room 2	Room 222	
	Phoenix	Phoenix	Tucson	
Maximum Attendance	50	25	25	

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each party shall comply with the following requirements, regardless of whether any party or witness is to participate in the hearing remotely:

- By October 14, 2022, each party shall file in the docket each exhibit that the party anticipates
 using at hearing, with each exhibit labeled with the party's abbreviated name, followed by a
 dash (such as "A-" for Applicant, "S-" for Staff, and "I-" for Intervenor) and then a consecutive
 number.
- By October 14, 2022, each party shall file an exhibit list, in table format, including the
 following separate columns and the appropriate information for each exhibit the party
 anticipates using at hearing:

Exhibit Number	Description	Date filed	Identified	Offered	Admitted	Witness
X-1	X's Application	6/25/2020	Leave Blank	Leave Blank	Leave Blank	Leave Blank
X-2	Testimony of John Doe	7/25/2020	Leave Blank	Leave Blank	Leave Blank	Leave Blank

- By November 1, 2022, each party shall provide to all other parties a list of the email addresses
 for the party's representatives to be used for exchange of late exhibits or other urgent
 information concerning the hearing.
- Each party shall ensure that two labeled physical copies of each of the party's exhibits are
 delivered to the Hearing Division for use by the court reporter and the Administrative Law
 Judge, with the labeled exhibits to be delivered to the Hearing Division no later than 4:00 p.m.
 on November 3, 2022.
- Each party shall ensure that for each subsequently identified exhibit potentially to be used by the party, the following are completed **before** the party uses the exhibit during the hearing:
 - o Two labeled physical copies are delivered to the Hearing Division;

o The labeled exhibit is filed in the docket; and

 A new exhibits table that conforms to the format set forth above, and that starts with the number of the first **new** exhibit, is filed in the docket.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each party whose representative is participating via WebEx shall comply with the following requirements:

- At a time designated by the Commission's broadcasting personnel as specified in an emailed WebEx invitation, each party representative planning to participate via videoconference, and who has not successfully participated via videoconference in a prior Commission hearing, shall log into and participate in a brief WebEx test to ensure that the party representative has the technological capability to participate in a proceeding via WebEx.
- At least 15 minutes before the starting time on each day of hearing, each party representative
 appearing via WebEx shall log into the WebEx event for the day, using the WebEx invitation
 sent to the party representative by the Commission's broadcasting personnel.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each party with a witness testifying via WebEx shall comply with the following requirements:

- At a time designated by the Commission's broadcasting personnel as specified in an emailed WebEx invitation, each party shall have each witness planning to participate via videoconference, and who has not successfully participated via videoconference in a prior Commission hearing, log into and participate in a brief WebEx test to ensure that the witness has the technological capability to participate in a proceeding via WebEx.
- At least 15 minutes before the starting time on each day of hearing, each party with witnesses
 testifying via videoconference shall forward the WebEx invitation for the day to each of the
 party's witnesses who is reasonably expected to testify via WebEx on that day.
- Each party with witnesses testifying via videoconference shall ensure that whenever any of the party's witnesses is expected or scheduled to testify, that party witness is logged into the WebEx event for the day, at least 15 minutes in advance of the time for the witness's expected or scheduled testimony, and has <u>ready access</u> to each exhibit filed by each party up to that time. "Ready access" means that the party's witness is able to view specific pages of an exhibit

promptly when requested to do so. This may require the party representative to provide the party's witness hard copies or downloaded copies of exhibits in advance so that it is not necessary for the party's witness to download them during the hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a party may, by October 10, 2022, file a motion requesting permission for a party representative or witness to appear telephonically and explaining the good cause for the request and why the representative's or witness's telephonic appearance will not adversely impact the Commission or any other party to this matter. Any telephonic appearance must be approved by the Administrative Law Judge.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all filings shall be made by 4:00 p.m. on the date the filing is due.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any motion, other than a dispositive motion, that is filed in this matter and that is not ruled upon within 20 calendar days of the filing date of the motion shall be deemed denied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any response to a motion other than a dispositive motion shall be filed within seven calendar days of the filing date of the motion.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any response to a dispositive motion shall be filed within 10 calendar days of the filing date of the motion.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any reply to a response shall be filed within five calendar days of the filing date of the response.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **Starlight Water Company**, **Inc. shall provide public notice of the hearing** in this matter, in the following form and style, with the heading in no less than 12-point bold type and the body in no less than 10-point regular type:

PUBLIC NOTICE OF HEARING ON STARLIGHT WATER COMPANY, INC.'S APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL FOR THE SALE OF ASSETS AND CANCELLATION OF ITS CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY. (DOCKET NO. W-02848A-21-0363)

Summary

On November 3, 2021, Starlight Water Company, Inc. ("SWC" or "Company") filed an application with the Commission for approval of the sale of its assets to the Blue Ridge Domestic Water Improvement District ("District") and for the cancellation of its Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("CC&N").

THE COMMISSION IS NOT BOUND BY THE PROPOSALS OF SWC, STAFF, OR ANY INTERVENORS. THE COMMISSION WILL DETERMINE THE APPROPRIATE RELIEF TO BE GRANTED IN RESPONSE TO SWC'S APPLICATION BASED ON THE EVIDENCE PRESENTED IN THIS MATTER.

How You Can View or Obtain a Copy of the Application

Copies of the Application are available from SWC [INSERT HOW AND WHERE AVAILABLE]; at the Commission's Docket Control Center at 1200 West Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona, and the Commission's office at 400 West Congress Street, Suite 218, Tucson, Arizona, during regular business hours; and on the Commission website (www.azcc.gov) using the e-Docket function.

Arizona Corporation Commission Public Hearing Information

The Commission will hold a hearing on this matter beginning **November 7, 2022 at 10:00 a.m.**, at the Commission's offices at 1200 West Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85007

A public comment meeting will be held at the beginning of the first day of hearing, and comment may be provided in person or telephonically. To provide telephonic public comments, call 1 (888) 450-5996, and enter participant no. 457395#,

Written public comments may be submitted by mailing a letter referencing **Docket No.** W-02848A-21-0363 to Arizona Corporation Commission, Consumer Services Section, 1200 West Washington, Phoenix, AZ 85007, or by submitting comments on the Commission's website (www.azcc.gov) by clicking on "Cases and Open Meetings" and "Make a Public Comment in a Docket." If you require assistance, you may contact the Consumer Services Section at 602-542-4251 or 1-800-222-7000.

Additional telephonic public comment shall be taken on the following dates and times and in the following manner:

DATE	TIME	MANNER
Tuesday, November 1, 2022	6:00 p.m.–8:00 p.m., or until all public comment has been heard, whichever comes first.	Telephonic only: To provide telephonic public comments, call 1 (888) 450-5996, and enter this code: 457395#
Wednesday, November 2, 2022	6:00 p.m.–8:00 p.m., or until all public comment has been heard, whichever comes first.	Telephonic only: To provide telephonic public comments, call 1 (888) 450-5996, and enter this code: 457395#
Monday, November 7, 2022	10:00 a.m., prior to the beginning of the first day of hearing.	

If you do not intervene in this proceeding, you will receive no further notice of the proceedings in this docket unless you sign up to Follow the Docket. However, all documents filed in this docket are available online (usually within 24 hours after docketing) at the Commission's website (www.azcc.gov) using the e-Docket function. Information on how to Follow a Docket is available on the Commission's website by clicking on "Cases and Open Meetings" and "Follow a Docket or Document Type."

About Intervention

The law provides for an open public hearing at which, under appropriate circumstances, interested persons may intervene. An interested person may be granted intervention if the outcome of the case will directly and substantially impact the person, and the person's intervention will not unduly broaden the issues in the case. Intervention, among other things, entitles a party to present sworn evidence at hearing and to cross-examine other parties' witnesses. Intervention is not required for you to appear at the hearing and provide public comment, to file written comments in the record of the case, or to receive emailed notice of each filing made in the case by following the docket.

Information about what intervention means, including an explanation of the rights and responsibilities of an intervenor, is available on the Commission's website (www.azcc.gov) by clicking on "Cases and Open Meetings" and then clicking on "Intervene in a Case." The information includes a Sample Intervention Request and a Fillable Intervention Request Form.

To request intervention, you must file a written request to intervene, either (a) by filing a hard copy request (meeting filing requirements) with Docket Control (Docket Control, 1200 West Washington, Phoenix, AZ 85007), or (b) by eFiling the request. Your request must be filed or eFiled no later than October 21, 2022. Instructions and restrictions for eFiling are available on the Commission's website at http://azcc.gov/hearing/efile-for-utilities-instruction. You also must serve a copy of the request to intervene on each party of record, on the same day that you file the request to intervene with the Commission.

Your request to intervene **must** contain the information below:

- 1. Your name, address, and telephone number;
- 2. The docket number for the case in which you are requesting to intervene;
- 3. A short statement explaining:
 - a. Your interest in the proceeding (e.g., a customer of the regulated company involved, a property owner in an area to be affected by the case, etc.),
 - b. How you will be directly and substantially affected by the outcome of the case, and
 - c. Why your intervention will not unduly broaden the issues in the case;
- 4. A statement certifying that you have sent a copy of your request to intervene to the regulated company or its attorney and to all other parties of record in the case; and
- 5. If you are not represented by an attorney who is an active member of the Arizona State Bar, and you are not representing yourself as an individual, sufficient information and any appropriate documentation to demonstrate compliance with Arizona Supreme Court Rules 31, 38, 39, and 42, as applicable. This only applies if you are NOT representing yourself and you are not a licensed attorney.

The granting of motions to intervene shall be governed by A.A.C. R14-3-105, except that all motions to intervene must be filed on or before **October 21, 2022.**

2 3

4 5

6

8

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

ADA/Equal Access Information

The Commission does not discriminate on the basis of disability in admission to its public meetings. Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation such as a sign language interpreter, as well as request this document in an alternative Coordinator. contacting the ADA Carolyn ADACoordinator@azcc.gov, voice phone number 602-542-2247. Requests should be made as early as possible and no later than 48 hours in advance of the event to allow time to arrange the accommodation.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Starlight Water Company, Inc. shall, by October 10, 2022, mail the above notice to each property owner in each affected service area and cause a copy of such notice to be published at least once in a newspaper of general circulation in each affected service area.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Starlight Water Company, Inc. shall file certification of notice as soon as possible after notice has been completed, but no later than October 21, 2022.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that notice shall be deemed complete upon mailing, publication, and/or posting of same, notwithstanding the failure of an individual customer to read or receive the notice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each party or prospective party shall refer to the Commission's website for information regarding Global Consent to Email Service² and how to Follow the Docket.³ Information regarding Global Consent to Email Service and how to Follow the Docket is available on the Commission's website (www.azcc.gov) by clicking on "Cases and Open Meetings" and then clicking on "Globally Consent to Email Service" or "Follow a Docket or Document Type."

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that documents may be eFiled in this docket and that instructions and restrictions for eFiling are available on the Commission's website at http://azcc.gov/hearing/efilefor-utilities-instruction.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all parties must comply with Arizona Supreme Court Rules 31.1, 31.2, 31.3, 38, 39, and 42 with respect to the practice of law and admission pro hac vice.

Global Consent to Email Service allows a party to consent to receive email service in all cases for which the party is included on the service list, now or in the future.

The "Follow a Docket" service allows any person to receive email notice, with a link to the filing, whenever something is filed in the docket. The service can be used to follow one or many dockets.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that withdrawal of representation must be made in compliance with A.A.C. R14-3-104(E) and Rule 1.16 of the Rules of Professional Conduct (under Arizona Supreme Court Rule 42). Representation before the Commission includes appearances at all hearings and procedural conferences, as well as all Open Meetings for which the matter is scheduled for discussion, unless counsel has previously been granted permission to withdraw by the Administrative Law Judge or the Commission.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Ex Parte Rule (A.A.C. R14-3-113 - Unauthorized Communications) applies to this proceeding and shall remain in effect until the Commission's Decision in this matter is final and non-appealable.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the time periods specified herein shall not be extended pursuant to Rule 6(a)(2) or (c) of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge may rescind, alter, amend, or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at hearing.

DATED this 20th day of September, 2022.

MARC E. STERN

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

1	On this 20 th day of September, 2022, the foregoing document was filed with Docket Control as a Procedural Order – Sets a Hearing, and copies of the foregoing were mailed on behalf of the Hearing
2	Division to the following who have not consented to email service. On this date or as soon as possible thereafter, the Commission's eDocket program will automatically email a link to the foregoing to the
3	following who have consented to email service.
4	Steve Wene MOYES SELLERS & HENDRICKS, LTD
5	1850 N. Central Ave., Suite 1100
6	Phoenix, AZ 85004 Attorney for Starlight Water Company, Inc.
7	swene@law-msh.com docket@law-msh.com
8	Consented to Service by Email
9	Robert J. Campos ROBERT J. CAMPOS & ASSOCIATES, P.L.C.
10	51 East Lexington Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85012
11	Attorney for Mr. David Wang, Mr. Dan Siburg, Ms. Rhonda Williams, Ms. Tammy Farrell,
12	Ms. Jeri Mason, Mr. Randy Wood, Ms. Susan Wood, and Mr. Matthew Porter
13	info@robertjcampos.com
14	Consented to Service by Email
15	Riley S. Snow SNOW LAW
16	2036 N. Gilbert Road, Ste. 2-264 Mesa, AZ 85203
17	Attorney for Blue Ridge Domestic Water Improvement District riley@rileysnowlaw.com
18	Consented to Service by Email
19	GLENNIE REPORTING SERVICES, LLC 1515 East Orangewood
20	Phoenix, AZ 85020 Emailed as a courtesy
21	
22	By:
23	Grace Beltran Assistant to Marc E. Stern
24	
25	
26	